

Conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell metrics (after Isaaque Viza de Souza)

Akito Futaki
Tsinghua University
Yau Mathematical Sciences Center

The 27th Symposium on Complex Geometry
Osaka University, November 1–4, 2021

Let (M, J) be a compact Kähler manifold.

Definition: A Hermitian metric \tilde{g} on (M, J) is a conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell metric (cKEM metric for short) if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- (a) The scalar curvature $s_{\tilde{g}}$ of \tilde{g} is constant.
- (b) There exists a positive smooth function f on M such that $g = f^2\tilde{g}$ is Kähler.
- (c) The Hamiltonian vector field $K = J\text{grad}_g f$ for f is a Killing vector field for both g and \tilde{g} .
(Necessarily, $K - iJK$ is a holomorphic vector field.)

This may be considered as a “conformally Kähler Yamabe problem”.

If $K = 0$, i.e. f is a constant function then g is a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature. (Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture)

We start with a compact Kähler manifold M with fixed Kähler class and fixed Killing vector field K in the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of the automorphism group, and

search for g such that $f_K^{-2}g$ has constant scalar curvature, $\text{grad}_g f_K = K$.

“Conformally Einstein-Maxwell Kähler metric problem” :

View point taken by Apostolov-Maschler.

(Extended Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture)

Examples of the conformally Kähler, Einstein metrics :

Page metric on the one-point-blow-up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (1978),

Chen-LeBrun-Weber on the two-point-blow-up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (2008).

Apostolov-Calderbank-Gauduchon on 4-orbifolds (2015),

Bérard-Bergery on \mathbb{P}^1 -bundles over Fano Kähler-Einstein manifolds (1982).

Examples of non-Einstein cKEM examples :

LeBrun's ambitoric examples on $\mathbb{C}P^1 \times \mathbb{C}P^1$, the one-point-blow-up of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, and Hirzebruch surfaces (2016),

Koca-Tønnesen-Friedman on ruled surfaces of higher genus (2016).

Apostolov and Maschler initiated a study in the **framework similar to the Kähler geometry**,

and set the existence problem of cKEM metrics in the **Donaldson-Fujiki picture**.

In particular, fixing a Kähler class, they defined an **obstruction to the existence of cKEM metrics** in a similar manner to the Kähler-Einstein and cscK cases.

They further studied the toric surfaces and showed the equivalence between the existence of cKEM metrics and toric K-stability on toric surfaces with convex quadrilateral moment map images, extending earlier works by Legendre and Donaldson.

Futaki-Ono (JMSJ 2018, Outstanding Paper Prize) studied for which Killing vector field K we can find a cKEM metric.

We showed that, fixing a Kähler class, such Killing vector fields are critical points of certain **volume functional.**

We also showed that, for toric manifolds, this idea gives an efficient way to decide **which vector fields in the Lie algebra of the torus can have a solution of the cKEM problem.**

The idea is similar to the cases of **Kähler-Ricci solitons** and **Sasaki-Einstein metrics.**

A **Kähler-Ricci soliton** is a Kähler metric with its Kähler form $\omega \in c_1(M)$

such that there exists a Killing Hamiltonian vector field

$X \in \mathfrak{h}$,

the Lie algebra of the maximal torus of the automorphism group,
satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ric}_\omega &= \omega + L_{JX}\omega \\ &= \omega + i\partial\bar{\partial}f_X.\end{aligned}$$

Find out, for which X , there is a Kähler form ω satisfying the Kähler-Ricci soliton equation.

Let g be an arbitrary Kähler metric with its Kähler class $\omega_g \in c_1(M)$, and let h_g be a smooth function such that

$$\text{Ric}_g - \omega_g = i\partial\bar{\partial}h_g.$$

Tian and Zhu (2002) defined a functional $\text{Fut}_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\text{Fut}_X(Y) = \int_M (JY)(h_g - f_X) e^{f_X} \omega_g^m$$

where f_X is the Hamiltonian function of X with the normalization

$$\int_M e^{f_X} \omega_g^m = \int_M \omega_g^m.$$

Fut_X is independent of the choice of $\omega_g \in c_1(M)$, and if there exists a Kähler-Ricci soliton for X then Fut_X vanishes identically.

To find such X with vanishing F_X , they considered the **weighted volume functional** $V : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$V(Z) = \int_M e^{u_Z} \omega_g^m$$

where u_Z is the Hamiltonian function of $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ with the the normalization

$$\int_M u_Z e^{h_g} \omega_g^m = 0.$$

Tian and Zhu showed that

- $V(X)$ is independent of ω_g ,
- $dV_X(Y) = c \text{Fut}_X(Y)$ with a constant c ,
- V is a strictly convex proper function,
- there is a unique minimum X .

This minimum X is the right choice to solve the Kähler-Ricci soliton equation.

A similar story holds for the Sasaki-Einstein metrics. Martell-Sparks-Yau (2008).

Let S be a toric Sasaki manifold, i.e. its Kähler cone $C(S)$ is toric.

The deformation space of the toric Sasaki structure is described by a subspace H in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of the torus consisting of Reeb vector fields. That is, a deformation of Sasaki structure corresponds to a deformation of the Reeb vector field.

Let $V : H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the volume functional of the space of Sasaki manifolds.

The derivative of $dV_\xi : \mathfrak{g}_0(= T_\xi H) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is equal to the natural obstruction for the existence of Sasaki-Einstein metric.

Since V is strictly convex and proper on H , there is a unique minimum. This is the right choice of the Reeb vector field to look for a Sasaki-Einstein metric.

Let us turn to our cKEM problem.

Let G be a maximal torus of a maximal reductive subgroup of the automorphism group,

and take $K \in \mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$.

Let ω_0 be a Kähler form, and $\Omega = [\omega_0] \in H_{\text{DR}}^2(M, \mathbf{R})$ be a fixed Kähler class.

We wish to find a G -invariant Kähler metric g with its Kähler form $\omega_g \in \Omega$ such that

(i) $\tilde{g} = f^{-2}g$ is a cKEM metric,

(ii) $J\text{grad}_g f = K$.

But we need to know whether we have chosen the right $K \in \mathfrak{g}$.

If we have chosen a wrong one we will never get to a cKEM metric.

The right one has to have vanishing obstruction.

Denote by \mathcal{K}_Ω^G the space of G -invariant Kähler metrics g with $\omega_g \in \Omega$.

For any $(K, a, g) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathcal{K}_\Omega^G$, there exists a unique function $f_{K,a,g} \in C^\infty(M, \mathbf{R})$ satisfying the following two conditions:

$$\iota_K \omega_g = df_{K,a,g}, \quad \int_M f_{K,a,g} \frac{\omega_g^m}{m!} = a.$$

Noting $\min\{f_{K,a,g}(x) \mid x \in M\}$ is independent of g with $\omega_g \in \Omega$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\Omega^G &:= \{(K, a) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathbf{R} \mid f_{K,a,g} > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{H}_\Omega^G &:= \left\{ \tilde{g}_{K,a} = f_{K,a,g}^{-2} g \mid (K, a) \in \mathcal{P}_\Omega^G, g \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega^G \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Fixing $(K, a) \in \mathcal{P}_\Omega^G$, put

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Omega,K,a}^G := \{\tilde{g}_{K,a} \mid g \in \mathcal{K}_\Omega^G\}.$$

Hereafter the Kähler metric g and its Kähler form ω_g are often identified, and ω_g is often denoted by ω . Put

$$c_{\Omega, K, a} := \frac{\int_M s_{\tilde{g}_{K, a}} f_{K, a, g}^{-2m-1} \frac{\omega^m}{m!}}{\int_M f_{K, a, g}^{-2m-1} \frac{\omega^m}{m!}},$$

$$d_{\Omega, K, a} := \frac{\int_M s_{\tilde{g}_{K, a}} f_{K, a, g}^{-2m} \frac{\omega^m}{m!}}{\int_M f_{K, a, g}^{-2m} \frac{\omega^m}{m!}}.$$

Then $d_{\Omega, K, a}$, $c_{\Omega, K, a}$ are constants independent of the choice of $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^G$ as shown by Apostolov-Maschler.

Then

$$F_{\Omega, K, a}^G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

defined by

$$F_{\Omega, K, a}^G(H) := \int_M \left(\frac{s\tilde{g}_{K, a} - c_{\Omega, K, a}}{f_{K, a, g}^{2m+1}} \right) f_{H, b, g} \frac{\omega^m}{m!}$$

is a linear function independent of the choice of $(g, b) \in \mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^G \times \mathbf{R}$.
(Apostolov-Maschler)

If there exists a constant scalar curvature metric in $\mathcal{H}_{\Omega, K, a}$, then $F_{\Omega, K, a}^G$ is identically zero.

Let us put further

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Omega}^G := \left\{ (K, a) \in \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}^G \mid d_{\Omega, K, a} = 1 \right\}.$$

Consider the volume functional

$$\text{Vol} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Omega}^G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

given by

$$\text{Vol}(K, a) := \text{Vol}(\tilde{g}_{K, a})$$

for $(K, a) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Omega}^G$.

The main result is the following volume minimization property of cKEM metrics.

Main Theorem:

Let $(K, a) \in \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Omega}^G := \left\{ (K, a) \in \mathcal{P}_{\Omega}^G \mid d_{\Omega, K, a} = 1 \right\}$.

Then if there exists a conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell metric $\tilde{g}_{K, a} \in \mathcal{H}_{\Omega, K, a}^G$

then (K, a) is a critical point of

$$\text{Vol} : \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\Omega}^G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}.$$

In fact,

$$d \text{Vol}_{(K, a)} = \text{const } F_{\Omega, K, a}^G.$$

A merit of the Main Theorem is to give a systematic computation of $F_{\Omega, K, a}^G$.

We use Maxima to compute $F_{\Omega, K, a}^G$ of $\mathbf{CP}^1 \times \mathbf{CP}^1$, the blow-up of \mathbf{CP}^2 at one point and other Hirzebruch surfaces.

The case of the one point blow up of \mathbf{CP}^2 Let Δ_p be the convex hull of $(0, 0), (p, 0), (p, 1 - p), (0, 1)$, ($0 < p < 1$).

An affine linear function $f = a\mu_1 + b\mu_2 + c$ is positive on Δ_p if and only if

$$c, b + c, (1 - p)b + pa + c, pa + c > 0.$$

$$(1) \quad a = \frac{p+2\sqrt{1-p}-2}{2p^2}, b = 0, 0 < p < 1. \quad U(2)\text{-symmetry, LeBrun case.}$$

$$(2) \quad a = -\frac{\sqrt{9p^2-8p+p}}{4p^2}, b = 0. \quad \frac{8}{9} < p < 1, \quad U(2)\text{-symmetry, LeBrun case.}$$

$$(3) \quad a = \frac{\sqrt{9p^2-8p-p}}{4p^2}, b = 0. \quad \frac{8}{9} < p < 1, \quad U(2)\text{-symmetry, LeBrun case.}$$

$$(4) \quad a = -\frac{\sqrt{p^4-4p^3+16p^2-16p+4-p^2+4p-2}}{2p^3-4p^2+12p-8}, b = -\frac{\sqrt{p^4-4p^3+16p^2-16p+4}}{p^3-2p^2+6p-4}.$$

$0 < p < \alpha$, $U(1) \times U(1)$ -symmetry. Existence unknown.

$$(5) \quad a = \frac{\sqrt{p^4-4p^3+16p^2-16p+4+p^2-4p+2}}{2p^3-4p^2+12p-8}, b = \frac{\sqrt{p^4-4p^3+16p^2-16p+4}}{p^3-2p^2+6p-4}.$$

$0 < p < \alpha$, $U(1) \times U(1)$ -symmetry. Existence unknown.

Here, α is the smallest positive root of $p^4 - 4p^3 + 16p^2 - 16p + 4 = 0$.

$$(6) \quad a = \frac{2\sqrt{-9b^2p^3 + (21b^2 + 1)p^2 + (1 - 16b^2)p + 4b^2 - 1 + 3bp^2 + (1 - 2b)p}}{6p^2 - 4p}.$$

$U(1) \times U(1)$ -symmetry. Existence unknown.

$$(7) \quad a = -\frac{2\sqrt{-9b^2p^3 + (21b^2 + 1)p^2 + (1 - 16b^2)p + 4b^2 - 1 - 3bp^2 + (2b - 1)p}}{6p^2 - 4p}.$$

$U(1) \times U(1)$ -symmetry. Existence unknown.

For (4) and (5), numerical proof of existence has been given by Futaki-Ono arXiv:1803.06801 by checking toric K-stability.

Theorem (Viza de Souza, Ann. Global. Anal. Geom. 2021)

(A) There are solutions for (4) and (5).

(B) There are no solutions for (6) and (7).

Definition: Let Δ be a polytope in \mathbb{R}^m containing the origin and f a positive affine linear function on Δ . The f -**twist** $\tilde{\Delta} := T(\Delta)$ of Δ is the image of $T : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ where

$$T(x) = \tilde{x} = \frac{x}{f(x)}.$$

Lemma : If $\phi(x)$ is an affine linear function of x , then $\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{x}) := \frac{\phi(x)}{f(x)}$ is an affine function of \tilde{x} .

If $(\Delta, \mathbf{L} = \{L_1, \dots, L_d\})$ is a labelled polytope, i.e.

$$\Delta = \{x \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid L_i(x) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, d\},$$

then $(\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \{\tilde{L}_1, \dots, \tilde{L}_d\})$, $\tilde{L}_i(\tilde{x}) = L_i(x)/f(x)$ is a labelled polytope.

Put $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}) := 1/f(x)$. Then \tilde{f} -twist of $\tilde{\Delta}$ recovers Δ .

Lemma : If u is a symplectic potential of (Δ, \mathbf{L}) , then \tilde{u} is a symplectic potential of $(\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\mathbf{L}})$.

Theorem (Apostolov-Calderbank, arXiv:1810) : (Δ, u) corresponds to an extremal Kähler metric if and only if $(\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{u})$ corresponds to an $(f, m+2)$ -extremal metric for $g_{\tilde{u}}$, where the (f, w) -scalar curvature for a Kähler metric g is

$$Scal_{(f,w)}(g) = f^2 Scal(g) - 2(w-1)f\Delta_g f - w(w-1)|df|_g^2,$$

and $(f, m+2)$ -scalar curvature for $g_{\tilde{u}}$ is a Killing potential.

Fact ; For $m = 2$, the scalar curvature of $f^{-2}g$ is equal to $Scal_{(f,4)}(g)$.

Corollary : For $m = 2$, if (Δ, u) corresponds to an extremal Kähler metric then $(\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{u})$ corresponds to a conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell metric provided the Futaki invariant vanishes.

Remark : This corollary has been observed by Apostolov-Maschler.

Remark : The above Theorem of Apostolov-Calderbank is more general, and expressed in terms of transverse Kähler structures on Sasakian manifolds.

Thus we only need to check the \tilde{f} -twist of cKEM metric is extremal or not.

Theorem (Legendre, 2011) : A quadrilateral in \mathbb{R}^2 corresponds to an extremal metric if and only if it is equiposed, i.e. if the vertices of the quadrilateral is v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 in the natural order, then the L^2 -projection ζ of the scalar curvature to the space of Killing potentials satisfy

$$\zeta(v_1) + \zeta(v_3) = \zeta(v_2) + \zeta(v_4).$$

Proof of Viza de Souza : The \tilde{f} -twist of (4) (resp. (5)) is equiposed. Thus it is extremal Kähler. Hence (4) (resp. (5)) is cKEM. In the case of (6) and (7) f can not be positive on the quadrilateral. Thus there is no solution.

Let $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ be the moment map.

Def (Lahdili) : For positive $v, w \in C^\infty(\Delta)$, we define the (v, w) -**scalar curvature** by

$$Scal_{(v,w)} = w^{-1} \left(v(\mu)Scal + 2\Delta v(\mu) + \sum_{i,j} v_{ij}(\mu) \right)$$

where v_{ij} denotes the Hessian with respect to an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{t}^* .

Example : When $v(\mu) = f^{-2m+1}$ and $w(\mu) = f^{-2m-1}$, a constant (v, w) -scalar curvature metric is a conformally Kähler, Einstein-Maxwell metric.

Example (Eiji Inoue) : When M is Fano, $v = e^{\langle \xi, p \rangle}$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, $w = v(\langle \xi, p \rangle + c)$, then a constant (v, w) -scalar curvature metric a gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton, where $J\xi$ is the soliton vector field.

\implies Fujiki-Donaldson picture for Kähler-Ricci solitons

\implies moduli theory for Kähler-Ricci solitons